

MATTERS ARISING REVIEW

Status comments: **RG**, **PH**, **RF**, **RF**

Item	Status
<p>Gilfrin Jewellers (side) - exploring the implications of RTC applying for advertising consent (community banners)</p>	<p>Outstanding – Clerk to seek an informal opinion from RDC</p> <p>Do we need to bother with this, will we receive any revenue for the town from it?</p> <p>Abandoned a long time ago</p>
<p>Obtain costings to improve signage to the town centre within Gibbet Marsh Car Park</p>	<p>Outstanding</p> <p>Part of parking review, as below</p>
<p>Asking RDC to consider removing the Gibbet Marsh Car Parking charges – or introducing a discounted scheme for traders and residents</p>	<p>Outstanding</p> <p>Awaiting response to suggestions to improve use of car park from Malcolm Johnston.</p>
<p>Asking Highways to confirm it has 'no left turn' signage outside Lancaster Court in hand.</p>	<p>Referred to Highways Forum</p> <p>[Highways Forum not met for some time]</p> <p>If it is not an issue no need to pursue?</p> <p>Done</p>
<p>Pedestrian rail crossings – supporting Edward Williams' concerns</p>	<p>In progress</p> <p>?</p> <p>RTC did its bit supporting Edward. Following another incident (involving a RDCT driver) warning signs were re-positioned so that they were more visible.</p>
<p>Installation of CCTV (monitoring on street parking) - ascertaining the likely cost and practicalities.</p>	<p>The Working Party is exploring a potentially cheaper option, linking with an existing system</p> <p>Not longer necessary?</p> <p>Would be worth putting a paper together to demonstrate its</p>

	<p>potential – so many crime solving exercises now rely on cctv and if we haven't got any in town it's a bad show</p> <p>We did quite a bit of research. It ground to halt because of two issues: the onerous data protection/privacy regulations and the cost of installing – and maintaining – a data compliant system. Also, the Government code of practice requires local authorities to evidence a proven issue to address before proceeding. You can't install it because it might address a potential need! The origin of this piece of work was frequent complaints about pavement parking from a Lion Street resident. Now resolved with CPE (and I believe the resident has moved).</p>
Asking RDC to add a note to its planning web site explaining how two plans might be viewed simultaneously.	<p>Outstanding</p> <p>Still a problem</p>
RR/2018/258/P Lamb House (Drawing the applicant's attention to the ongoing parking issues).	<p>Outstanding</p> <p>Is it still an issue nw the banks have gone?</p> <p>Done</p>
A259 Resurfacing – Resolved 1 (Advising a-one+ of the need to avoid the Rye Festival and Rye Bonfire)	<p>Outstanding</p> <p>?</p> <p>Done</p>
A259 Resurfacing – Resolved 2 (Reminding a-one+ to involve the Highways Forum in plans for future works).	<p>Outstanding</p> <p>?</p> <p>Done</p>
To write to the CEOs of LloydsTSB, Nationwide and Barclays - requesting their branch viability/closure policies – whilst highlighting the concerns following: a) Loss of ATMs; b) The age profile of visitors to the	<p>Partly outstanding – it was noted that a response had been received from Barclay's CEO.</p> <p>Delete, they've all gone and Barclays lied to us!</p> <p>Done – and no longer relevant</p>

<p>town means that many prefer to make retail purchases with cash; c) East Sussex has a high proportion of older residents who chose/prefer not to bank online and branch closures exacerbate isolation; d) Having to travel to another town to bank cash and obtain change is more environmentally unfriendly than switching to 'paperless statements'.</p>	
<p>Planning applications – inadequate validation (making representations)</p>	<p>Outstanding Def worth prioritising</p>
<p>Tilling Green Community Land Trust – exploring</p>	<p>Ask Rye Partnership Chairman about the potential for an affordable housing development on the former TG School playing field. Yes, keith has something in mind Will we like it though?!</p>