

**Minutes of a Meeting of the Town Council held via Zoom on  
Monday 8 February 2021**

**PRESENT** Councillors David Amphill, David Bookless, Michael Boyd, John Breeds, Jonathan Breeds, Cheryl Creaser, Rebekah Gilbert (**Mayor** – Speaker of the Cinque Ports), Chris Hoggart, Pat Hughes, Jo Kirkham, Ian Potter, Andi Rivett (**Deputy Mayor**), Shaun Rogers, Andy Stuart, Sam Wood

**IN ATTENDANCE** Richard Farhall - Town Clerk (Host); Jessica Neame – Deputy Town Clerk (Co-Host); Rother District Cllr Howard Norton; 2 members of the public.

---

*The meeting commenced at 7.01pm.*

**67 APOLOGIES**

The Clerk advised that Cllr Wood might be able to join the meeting later.

**68 CODE OF CONDUCT**

*Item 71* Cllr Creaser stated that, because the owner of 104 Udimore Road is her son, she considered that the matter of the telephone kiosk is for him and RTC to determine.

*Cllr Creaser left the meeting.*

**69 MINUTES**

**RESOLVED** To approve, as an accurate record, the Minutes of the Council meeting held on 25 January 2021 (C9).

**70 EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC AND PRESS**

**RESOLVED** In accordance with the Public Bodies (Admissions to Meetings) Act 1960 (1)(2), to exclude the public and press on the basis that the item following entails consideration of the Council's interest in a parcel of land.

**71 TELEPHONE KIOSK O/S 104 UDIMORE ROAD**

Cllr Hughes and Stuart invited Members to consider further the legal options open to the Council in relation to the matter of a parishioner seeking the removal of the Council's K6 telephone kiosk from the verge fronting his property (104 Udimore Road). They (and other colleagues) were of the opinion that at the Council meeting held on 14 December 2020, the circumstances were such that the debate was constrained and, therefore, the Council's decisions were not 'fully informed'.

It was noted that RTC had successfully registered a Caution Against First Registration in respect of the verge fronting 104 Udimore Road.

The Clerk reported that the owner of 104 Udimore Road, James Creaser had just responded to the Council's email to him of 15 December 2020.

Members regretted that Mr Creaser had chosen not to support the Council's suggestion that both parties jointly commission a surveyor to provide an opinion on the position of Mr Creaser's front boundary and, instead, had appointed his own surveyor.

Comments included: it is believed that the kiosk has been in its current location since c1950; this (and other sections of the verge on the southern side of Udimore Road) accommodate useful community assets – eg a bench, litter bin, dog waste bin and bus stops); an examination of the transfer/sale documents at/since 104 was released from RDC’s housing stock indicates that the verge fronting it does not form part of the Title of 104 (it was noted that Mr Creaser considers the Title Plan registered with the Land Registry to be incorrect).

**RESOLVED To advise Mr Creaser that the Council is disappointed that he has not supported Members’ jointly-commissioned surveyor proposal and to invite him to reconsider his decision to appoint a surveyor with no reference to the Council.**  
**Clerk**

*The meeting ended at 7.28pm.*

Date ..... Chairman .....